









				2 weeks before randomised.	b) FVC and FEF similar results to FEV1 c) am and pm PEFr		P <0.01	
					d) asthma scores and beta agonist use low at baseline	All active treatments better than placebo	P < 0.01	
					e) physician response-to-therapy scores	All active treatments better than placebo.	P <0.01	
					f) adverse events including serum cortisol challenge tests	All active treatments better than placebo. 200 mcg BD better than 200mcg OD am no difference	P = 0.05	
BernsteinDI , Berkowitz RB et al Respir Med 93 , 603 - 612 <sup>5</sup>	1999	RCT double blind, double dummy, placebo controlled, multicentre (20 centres in USA)  MF 100, 200 or 400 mcg, or BDP 168 mcg , all BD. 12	++	365 pts, 12-74 years (mean 37y) Asthma at least 6months.  FEV1 60-90% (mean 76%) Been on stable dose ICS for at least 30days. (BDP 345, flunisol 1063, FP 440, TAA 750). EXCLUDED if oral steroids >14 days in	a)FEV1 mean change from baseline (%)  b)FVC mean change from	+4.8 (MF 100) +7.1 (MF200) +6.2 (MF400) +3.0 (BDP 168) -6.6% (placebo)  Active better than placebo.  BDP 168 similar to MF 100  +4.7 (MF100)	P < 0.01	Showned MF200 BD better than MF100 BD and no apparent additional benefit of MF 400 BD.  Also MF100 BD similar to BDP168 BD



					g)physician evaluation of improvement (% pts)	51 (BDP 168) 20 (placebo) no difference between active and placebo		
					h)safety (adverse events and cortisol stimulation tests)			
Nathan RA, Nayak AJ et al  Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 86 : 203 - 210 <sup>6</sup>	2001	RCT, double blind, double dummy, placebo controlled, 15 centre in USA.  MF 100 bd v MF 200 bd v BDP 168 bd  12 week trial	++	227pts, 13-75 years (mean 41 years). Asthma at least 6months. FEV1 60-90% (mean 76%). On ICS stable for 30 days prior to study (BDP 310, Flunisol 1100, FP 360, TAA 720). Theophylline permitted but all other asthma meds NOT permitted	a)FEV1 change from baseline (L)  b)FVC and FEF25-75  c)mean am PEFr change from baseline (L/min)  d)symptom scores low at baseline  e) albuterol use (inhalation/day) change from baseline  f) physician evaluation of	0.12 (MF 100) 0.25 (MF 200) 0.11 (BDP 168) -0.21 (placebo) similar changes to FEV1  26.7 (MF100) 37.4 (MF200) 19.3 (BDP 168) -21.4 (placebo) small changes  -1.18 (MF100) -0.94 (MF200) -1.05 (BDP 168) +1.31 (placebo)  no significant differences	Active better than placebo P < 0.01  Active better than placebo p < 0.01  Active better than placebo p < 0.01  Active better than placebo  Active better than placebo p <0.01  Active better than placebo p <0.01	Active treatments in 2 different devices (MDI v DPI).  MF 100 and 200 BD effective and well tolerated in asthma control.  MF 100 BD similar results to BDP 168 BD.  MF 200 BD appeared to be most effective but not achieved clinical significance in this study.





					<p>scores</p> <p>-94.84 (MF 200)</p> <p>-38.10 (MF400)</p> <p>-52.06 (FP 250)</p> <p>MF 200 biggest improvement compared to MF100</p> <p>f)albuterol use mean change from baseline (mcg /day)</p> <p>MF 200, 400 and FP 250 rated the same. MF 100 rated lower</p> <p>No difference between treatments. MF100 most withdrawals due to treatment failure (7%) cf 4% for the rest</p> <p>g)physician evaluation of improvement</p> <p>g)safety and adverse events</p>			
<p>Bousquet J, D'Urzo A et al</p> <p>Eur Respir J ; 16 : 808 – 816<sup>8</sup></p>	2000	<p>RCT, double blind for MF dosage, evaluator blind for MF v BUD, parallel group study. 57 centres in 17 countries. MF DPI 100, 200 and 40 BD and BUD turbohaler 400 BD. 12</p>	<p>+ (as single blind for one aspect of study)</p>	<p>730 pts, 12 – 76 years (mean 39-42y) Asthma for at least 6months. FEV1 60-90% (mean 76%) Using ICS for at least 30days and on stable dose (BPD mean 679-736, BUD mean 645–688, Flunisolide mean 422-45</p>	<p>a) mean change FEV1 (L)</p> <p>0.10 (100mcg)</p> <p>0.16 (200mcg)</p> <p>0.16 (400mcg)</p> <p>0.06 (BUD 400)</p> <p>b) PEF am (L/min)</p> <p>18.2 (100mcg)</p> <p>37.8 (200mcg)</p> <p>37.3 (400mcg)</p> <p>24.7 (BUD)</p> <p>c) Evaluation of asthma symptoms</p> <p>Low at baseline for all.</p>	<p>P&lt;0.05 of MF 200 and 400BD cf BUD 400mcg BD</p> <p>P&lt;0.05 MF 200 and 400mcgBD cf 100mcgBD</p>	<p>Mometasone 200mcg BD and 400mcg BD are more effective than BUD 400mcg BD</p>	

		weeks treatment			(Patient rated)	Improvement in am wheezing was significantly greater for MF 400mcgBD than BUD or MF 100		
					d) Use of salbutamol (mcg/day)	NS differences between MF 200 and 400 groups	P<0.05	
					e) Physician evaluation (mean scores)	MF 200BD required less than BUD group	P<0.05	
					f) adverse effects	2.43 (100mcg) 2.33 (200mcg) 2.25 (400mcg) 2.53 (BUD)		MF 200 and 400 cf BUD
						All treatments were well tolerated		
Fish J, Karpel J et al J. Allergy Clin. Immunol 2000 ; 106: 852-860 <sup>9</sup>	2000	RCT double blind, placebo control 21 centres 12 week of MF 400 and 800mcg BD vs placebo then 9 month open-label phase	+	132 patients age 13-83 years (mean 52) on oral corticosteroids daily or alt day for >5months/6 months before enrolment. Stable on minimum effective dose in range of 5-30 mg daily or 10 to 60mg alt days. FEV1 >40-85% of	a) change in daily oral prednisolone dose at 12 weeks b) oral prednisolone use- % change in dose at 12 weeks c) Change in	+11.8mg placebo -6.33mg MF-400 -3.19mg MF 800 -46.0% MF 400mcg BD -23.9% MF 800mcg BD + 164.4% placebo	P<0.05 P<0.01	Inhaled MF 400mcg and 800mcg BD reduce daily OCS requirements compared to placebo Further reductions in OCS requirements were achieved with long-term MF treatment in the open-label phase

			<p>predicted (mean 61%). Some pts also on ICS BDP 430mcg/d, FP 666-953/d, TAA1000/d, Flunisolil1400/d</p> <p>All regular ICS stopped and replaced with study treatments</p>	<p>FEV1 (L) at 12 weeks</p> <p>c)FVC, PEF at 12 weeks</p> <p>d)Symptoms and rescue medication</p> <p>f) adverse events</p>	<p>-0.19 Placebo</p> <p>0.25 MF 400mcg BD</p> <p>0.17 MF 800mcg BD</p> <p>significant increase compared to placebo</p> <p>Both significantly improved with both doses of MF compared to placebo</p> <p>No difference</p>	<p>P&lt;0.01</p> <p>P&lt;0.01</p>	<p>Although high doses of MF used, HPA function and bone density NOT studied</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--	-----------------------------------	--

- Holgate ST, Arshad H, Stryszak P, Harrison JE. Mometasone furoate antagonizes AMP-induced bronchoconstriction in patients with mild asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2000;105(5):906-11.
- Nayak AS, Banov C, Corren J, Feinstein BK, Floreani A, Friedman BF, et al. Once-daily mometasone furoate dry powder inhaler in the treatment of patients with persistent asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2000;84(4):417-24.
- Kemp JP, Berkowitz RB, Miller SD, Murray JJ, Nolop K, Harrison JE. Mometasone furoate administered once daily is as effective as twice-daily administration for treatment of mild-to-moderate persistent asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2000;106(3):485-92.
- Noonan M, Karpel JP, Bensch GW, Ramsdell JW, Webb DR, Nolop KB, et al. Comparison of once-daily to twice-daily treatment with mometasone furoate dry powder inhaler. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2001;86(1):36-43.
- Bernstein DI, Berkowitz RB, Chervinsky P, Dvorin DJ, Finn AF, Gross GN, et al. Dose-ranging study of a new steroid for asthma: mometasone furoate dry powder inhaler. *Respir Med* 1999;93(9):603-12.
- Nathan RA, Nayak AS, Graft DF, Lawrence M, Picone FJ, Ahmed T, et al. Mometasone furoate: efficacy and safety in moderate asthma compared with beclomethasone dipropionate. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2001;86(2):203-10.
- O'Connor B, Bonnaud G, Haahtela T, Luna JM, Querfurt H, Wegener T, et al. Dose-ranging study of mometasone furoate dry powder inhaler in the treatment of moderate persistent asthma using fluticasone propionate as an active comparator. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2001;86(4):397-404.
- Bousquet J, D'Urzo A, Hebert J, Barraza CH, Boulet LP, Suarez-Chacon R, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and

- safety of mometasone furoate dry powder inhaler to budesonide Turbuhaler. *Eur Respir J* 2000;16(5):808-16.
9. Fish JE, Karpel JP, Craig TJ, Bensch GW, Noonan M, Webb DR, et al. Inhaled mometasone furoate reduces oral prednisone requirements while improving respiratory function and health-related quality of life in patients with severe persistent asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2000;106(5):852-60.