

EQIA Report For Antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery

Date: 1-Apr-08

Policy, Function or Output Details

Section One:	Ownership / Commitments	
1.1 Organisation NHS Quality Improvement Scotland Including SIGN	1.2 Directorate SIGN	
1.3 Person Responsible: Roberta James	1.4 Unit: SIGN	
1.5 Output Title: Antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery (update)	1.6 Target Completion Date: 1-May-08	
1.7 What stage is it at? Awaiting Authorisation	1.8 Status: Replacement	
1.9 Associations		
Own Organisation	Partner Organisations	
a) Status Replacement works output NB If you selected multiple then include the breakdown in the titles list above	b) Status Select works Select NB If you selected multiple then include the breakdown in the titles list above	
1.10 Assessment Knowledge		
a) Summary of the knowledge level of the assessor team in relation to the Output and Equality and Diversity? Above average	b) What data are you aware is available? None	

Section Two:	The Output Background
2.1	What is the purpose of this Output? To provide evidence based recommendations for healthcare professionals to reduce inappropriate prophylactic antibiotic prescribing
2.2	Which NHS QIS work themes does this relate to? Clinical Governance and patient safety Give details: See section 2.1
2.3	Who are the stakeholders? Surgeons, anaesthetists, theatre nurses, pharmacists, radiologists, microbiologists, infection control nurses, specialists in public health, specialists in clinical effectiveness and clinical governance, general practitioners and members of the voluntary sector.
2.4	Who is it to benefit or affect? Patients undergoing surgical procedures In what way? To optimise the use of antibiotics to prevent surgical site infection.

Stage 1

Section Three:	Initial Checklist
Equality target groups	
Please check the relevant boxes, where it is anticipated that there will be an impact on the equality group-either positive or negative	
Age: consider children, young people and older people	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Disability eg. physical, sensory impairment and learning disability.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Gender: men, women, transgender and transsexual people	<input type="checkbox"/>
Race/ethnic groups including minorities eg. gypsy travellers, refugees & asylum seekers.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Religion or belief: religious or other groups with a recognised belief system	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sexual orientation eg. lesbian, gay, bisexual	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cross Cutting Strands	
People in poverty	<input type="checkbox"/>
Homeless people	<input type="checkbox"/>
Language or social origins issues	<input type="checkbox"/>
People in Criminal Justice System	<input type="checkbox"/>
People with mental health issues	<input type="checkbox"/>
Marital status including civil partnership	<input type="checkbox"/>

Section Four:		Initial Checklist - Summary Sheet
4.1	Have actual/potential positive impacts been identified for one or more equality target groups? Yes Children were not covered in the previous version of this guideline, but have now been included.	
4.2	Have actual/potential negative impacts been identified for one or more equality target groups? No	
4.3	Additional information and evidence required: This guideline applies equally to all patients undergoing surgical procedures with no differential impact by equality target group.	
4.4	Assessment status: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data collection was sufficient for the initial checking Yes If not what can / should be done to improve it: The assessor team's knowledge was appropriate for the initial checking Yes If not give details and recommendations: 	
4.5	What are the resource implications of: Gathering the relevant data already held on equality target groups?None applicable Identifying the gaps in the data? None applicable Collecting the missing data? None applicable	
4.6	This has been subjected to EQIA before Date: No Give details of outcomes etc.	
4.7	What efforts will be made/ have been made to include representatives from the relevant equality target groups? While no equality target groups have been identified as being impacted, patient representatives were included in the guideline development group. Published literature on the issues of concern to all patients in this area was reviewed at the beginning of the project.	
4.8	How have they been/will they be involved in the development? Meetings Give details: Attended meetings of the guideline development group and were involved at all stages of the development process.	
4.9	What research or consultation has been/requires to be carried out with regard to the impact on equality target groups? None	
Initial Checklist		Recommended actions and sign off

4.10	Further initial checking <input type="checkbox"/> If yes what should be undertaken?
4.11	Rapid impact assessment <input type="checkbox"/> If not what are the reasons? As there is no differential impact on any equality target group, there is no need for further impact assessment.
4.12	Full impact assessment <input type="checkbox"/> If not what are the reasons? As there is no differential impact on any equality target group, there is no need for further impact assessment.
4.13	Recommendations summary: Publish on schedule
4.14	No further action is recommended and the conclusions of the initial checklist are accurate and comprehensive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If not give reasons:
Name:	Dr. Roberta James (Lead Assessor) Date: 1-Apr-08